ON GENERALIZATIONS OF BAER'S THEOREMS ABOUT THE HYPERCENTER OF A FINITE GROUP

V. I. Murashka

{mvimath@yandex.net}

Francisk Skorina Gomel State University, Gomel

arXiv:1510.03968v1 [math.GR] 14 Oct 2015

Abstract. We investigate the intersection of normalizers and $\mathfrak{F}$-subnormalizers of different types of systems of subgroups ($\mathfrak{F}$-maximal, Sylow, cyclic primary). We described all formations $\mathfrak{F} = \underset{i\in I}{\times}\mathfrak{F}_{\pi_i}$ for which the intersection of normalizers of all $\mathfrak{F}_i$-maximal subgroups of G is the $\mathfrak{F}$-hypercenter of G for every group G. Also we described all formations $\mathfrak{F}$ for which the intersection of $\mathfrak{F}$-subnormalizers of all Sylow (cyclic primary) subgroups of G is the $\mathfrak{F}$-hypercenter of G for every group G.

Keywords: saturated formation, hereditary formation, $\mathfrak{F}$-hypercenter, $\mathfrak{F}$-subnormalizer, intersection of subgroups.

Mathematic Subject Classification(2010): 20D25, 20F17, 20F19.

1 Introduction

All considered groups are finite. In [1] R. Baer showed that from one hand the hypercenter $\mathrm{Z}_\infty(G)$ of a group G coincides with the intersection of all maximal nilpotent subgroups of G and from another hand $\mathrm{Z}_\infty(G)$ coincides with the intersection of normalizers of all Sylow subgroups of G.

The concept of hypercenter was extended on classes of groups (see [2, p. 127–128] or [3, p. 6–8]). Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a class of groups. A chief factor H/K of a group G is called $\mathfrak{X}$-central if $(H/K) \leftthreetimes G/C_G(H/K) \in \mathfrak{X}$. A normal subgroup N of G is said to be $\mathfrak{X}$-hypercentral in G if $N = 1$ or $N \neq 1$ and every chief factor of G below N is $\mathfrak{X}$-central. The $\mathfrak{X}$-hypercenter $\mathrm{Z}_\mathfrak{X}(G)$ is the product of all normal $\mathfrak{X}$-hypercentral subgroups of G. So if $\mathfrak{X} = \mathfrak{N}$ is the class of all nilpotent groups then $\mathrm{Z}_\infty(G) = \mathrm{Z}_\mathfrak{N}(G)$ for every group G.

In [4] A. V. Sidorov showed that for a soluble group G the intersection of all maximal subgroups of nilpotent length at most r is $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathfrak{N}^r}(G)$. Beidleman and Heineken [5] studied the properties of the intersection $\mathrm{Int}_\mathfrak{F}(G)$ of $\mathfrak{F}$-maximal subgroups of a group G in case when G is soluble and $\mathfrak{F}$ is a hereditary saturated formation.

Let F be the canonical local definition of a local formation $\mathfrak{F}$. Then $\mathfrak{F}$ is said to satisfy the boundary condition [6] if $\mathfrak{F}$ contains every group G whose all maximal subgroups belong to $F(p)$ for some prime p.

A. N. Skiba [6] showed that the equality $\mathrm{Int}_\mathfrak{F}(G) = \mathrm{Z}_\mathfrak{F}(G)$ holds for every group G if and only if a hereditary saturated formations $\mathfrak{F}$ satisfies the boundary condition. This and further results was included in the first chapter of [3].

The intersection of normalizers of different systems of subgroups is the main theme of many papers. In [7] Baer considered the intersection of normalizers of all subgroups of a group. Wielandt [8] studied the intersection of normalizers of all subnormal subgroups of a group. Li and Shen [9] considered the intersection of normalizers of all derived subgroups of all subgroups of a group.

Let $\sigma = \{\pi_i | i \in I\}$ be a partition of $\mathbb{P}$ into disjoint subsets, $\mathfrak{X}_i$ be a class of groups such that $\pi(\mathfrak{X}_i) = \pi_i$. Then $\underset{i\in I}{\times}\mathfrak{X}_{\pi_i} = (G = \underset{i\in I}{\times}\mathrm{O}_{\pi_i}(G) | \mathrm{O}_{\pi_i}(G) \in \mathfrak{X}_i)$. Recall that $\mathfrak{G}_\pi$ is the class of all π-groups. Hence $\mathfrak{N} = \underset{p\in\mathbb{P}}{\times}\mathfrak{G}_p$.

In [10] author showed that if $\mathfrak{F} = \underset{i\in I}{\times}\mathfrak{G}_{\pi_i}$ then for any group G the intersection of all normalizers of all π_i-maximal subgroups of G for all $i \in I$ coincides with the $\mathfrak{F}$-hypercenter. So the general problem is

Problem A. Let Σ be a subgroup functor. What can be said about the intersection of normalizers of subgroups from $\Sigma(G)$?

Recall [11, p. 206] that a subgroup functor is a function τ which assigns to each group G a possibly empty set $\tau(G)$ of subgroups of G satisfying $f(\tau(G)) = \tau(f(G))$ for any isomorphism $f : G \to G^*$.

Definition 1. Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a class of groups and G be a group. Then $\mathrm{NI}_{\mathfrak{X}}(G)$ is the intersection of all normalizers of $\mathfrak{X}$-maximal subgroups of G.

The following proposition shows that if $\mathfrak{F}$ is a hereditary saturated formation and $\pi(\mathfrak{F}) = \mathbb{P}$ then the equality $\mathrm{NI}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G) = \mathrm{Int}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$ holds for every group G.

Proposition 1. *Let $\mathfrak{F}$ be a hereditary saturated formation and $\pi = \pi(\mathfrak{F})$. Then $\mathrm{O}^{\pi'}(\mathrm{NI}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)) = \mathrm{Int}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$ for every group G.*

The following theorem generalizes two above mentioned Baer's theorems about the hypercenter:

Theorem A. *Let $\sigma = \{\pi_i | i \in I\}$ be a partition of $\mathbb{P}$ into disjoint subsets and $\mathfrak{F}_i$ be a hereditary saturated formation such that $\pi(\mathfrak{F}_i) = \pi_i$ and $\mathfrak{F} = \underset{i\in I}{\times}\mathfrak{F}_i$. The following statements are equivalent*:

(1) *$\mathfrak{F}_i$ satisfies the boundary condition in the universe of all π_i-groups for all $i \in I$.*

(2) *For every group G holds $\bigcap\limits_{i\in I}\mathrm{NI}_{\mathfrak{F}_i}(G) = \mathrm{Z}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$.*

Corollary A.1 [1]. *The hypercenter of a group G is the intersection of all normalizers of all Sylow subgroups of G.*

Corollary A.2 [10]. *Let $\sigma = \{\pi_i | i \in I\}$ be a partition of $\mathbb{P}$ into disjoint subsets, $\mathfrak{F} = \underset{i\in I}{\times}\mathfrak{G}_{\pi_i}$ and G be a group. Then the intersection of all normalizers of all π_i-maximal subgroups of G for all $i \in I$ is the $\mathfrak{F}$-hypercenter of G.*

From proposition 1 and theorem A when $|I| = 1$ it follows that our theorem A extends theorem A from [6]:

Corollary A.3. *Let $\mathfrak{F}$ be a hereditary saturated formation and $\pi(\mathfrak{F}) = \mathbb{P}$. The equality $\mathrm{NI}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G) = \mathrm{Z}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G) = \mathrm{Int}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$ holds for every group G if and only if $\mathfrak{F}$ satisfies the boundary condition.*

Corollary A.4 [1]. *The hypercenter of a group G is the intersection of all maximal nilpotent subgroups of G.*

Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a class of groups. Recall that a subgroup H of a group G is called $\mathfrak{F}$-subnormal if either $H = G$ or there is a maximal chain of subgroups $H = H_0 < H_1 < \cdots < H_n = G$ such that $H_i/\mathrm{Core}_{H_i}(H_{i-1}) \in \mathfrak{X}$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a class of groups. A $\mathfrak{X}$-subnormalizer [12, p. 380] of a subgroup H of a group G is a subgroup T of G such that H is $\mathfrak{X}$-subnormal in T and if H is $\mathfrak{X}$-subnormal in M and $T \leq M$ then $T = M$. It is clear that a $\mathfrak{X}$-subnormalizer always exists but may be not unique.

Problem B. Let $\Sigma(G)$ be a subgroup functor and $\mathfrak{F}$ be a formation. What can be said about the intersection $\mathrm{SI}^{\mathfrak{F}}_{\Sigma}(G)$ of $\mathfrak{F}$-subnormalizers of subgroups from $\Sigma(G)$?

If $\Sigma(G)$ is the set of all maximal subgroups of G then this intersection coincides with $\Delta_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$ where $\Delta_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$ is the intersection of all $\mathfrak{F}$-abnormal maximal subgroups of G. According to [13, p. 96] if $\mathfrak{F}$ is a hereditary saturated formation then $\Delta_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)/\Phi(G) = \mathrm{Z}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G/\Phi(G))$.

Proposition 2. *Let $\mathfrak{F}$ be a hereditary formation and Σ be a subgroup functor. Then $\mathrm{SI}^{\mathfrak{F}}_{\Sigma}(G)$ is the product of normal subgroups N of a group G such that H is $\mathfrak{F}$-subnormal in HN for every $H \in \Sigma(G)$.*

A.F. Vasil'ev and T.I. Vasil'eva [14] studied a class of groups $w\mathfrak{F}$ whose all Sylow subgroups are $\mathfrak{F}$-subnormal for a given hereditary saturated formation $\mathfrak{F}$. Let us note that in this case

$Z_{w\mathfrak{F}}(G)$ lies in the intersection of all $\mathfrak{F}$-subnormalizers of all Sylow subgroups of a group G. Author [15] studied a class of groups $v\mathfrak{F}$ whose all cyclic primary subgroups are $\mathfrak{F}$-subnormal for a given hereditary saturated formation $\mathfrak{F}$. Again $Z_{v\mathfrak{F}}(G)$ lies in the intersection of all $\mathfrak{F}$-subnormalizers of all cyclic primary subgroup of a group G.

In this paper we count the unit group as cyclic primary subgroup and also as Sylow subgroup.

Theorem B. *Let $\mathfrak{F}$ be a hereditary saturated formation. The following statements are equivalent:*

(1) *There exists a partition $\sigma = \{\pi_i | i \in I\}$ of $\pi(\mathfrak{F})$ into disjoint subsets such that $\mathfrak{F} = \underset{i\in I}{\times} \mathfrak{G}_{\pi_i}$.*

(2) *The intersection of all $\mathfrak{F}$-subnormalizers of all cyclic primary subgroups of G is the $\mathfrak{F}$-hypercenter of G for every group G.*

(3) *The intersection of all $\mathfrak{F}$-subnormalizers of all Sylow subgroups of G is the $\mathfrak{F}$-hypercenter of G for every group G.*

Note that in the universe of all soluble groups the concepts of a subnormal subgroup and a $\mathfrak{N}$-subnormal subgroup coincides. It is well known that if a Sylow subgroup P of G is subnormal in G then it is normal in G. Hence a $\mathfrak{N}$-subnormalizer of a Sylow subgroup P of a soluble group G is just the normalizer of P in G. So theorem B can be viewed as the generalization of R. Baer's theorem about the intersection of normalizers of Sylow subgroups.

Remark. Formations $\mathfrak{F} = \underset{i\in I}{\times} \mathfrak{G}_{\pi_i}$ are lattice formations, i.e. formations were $\mathfrak{F}$-subnormal subgroups form a sublattice of the subgroup's lattice of every group (for example see chapter 6.3 of [11]). Also properties of the $\mathfrak{F}$-hypercenter and the $\mathfrak{F}$-residual for such formations was studied by author in [10]. A. N. Skiba extends the theory of nilpotent groups on such classes (for example see [16]).

2 Preliminaries

We use standard notation and terminology that if necessary can be found in [12]. Recall some of them that are important in this paper. By $\mathbb{P}$ is denoted the set of all primes; $\pi(G)$ is the set of all prime divisors of the order of G; $\pi(\mathfrak{F}) = \underset{G\in\mathfrak{F}}{\cup} \pi(G)$; a group G is called π-group if $\pi(G) \subseteq \pi$; Z_p is the cyclic group of order p; $O_\pi(G)$ is the greatest normal π-subgroup G; $O^\pi(G)$ is the smallest subgroup of G such that $\pi(G/O^\pi(G)) \subseteq \pi$; G' is the derived subgroup of G; $G^{\mathfrak{F}}$ is the $\mathfrak{F}$-residual for a formation $\mathfrak{F}$; $O_{p',p}(G)$ is the p-nilpotent radical of G for $p \in \mathbb{P}$, it also can be defined by $O_{p',p}(G)/O_{p'}(G) = O_p(G/O_{p'}(G))$; $\Phi(G)$ is the Frattini subgroup of a group G; $G = N \leftthreetimes M$ is the semidirect product of groups M and N ($N \lhd G$ and $N \cap M = 1$); $\mathfrak{G}_\pi$ ($\mathfrak{S}_\pi$, $\mathfrak{N}_\pi$) is the class of (soluble, nilpotent) π-groups, where $\pi \subseteq \mathbb{P}$.

A class of groups $\mathfrak{F}$ is called a formation if from $G \in \mathfrak{F}$ and $N \lhd G$ it follows that $G/N \in \mathfrak{F}$ and from $H/A \in \mathfrak{F}$ and $H/B \in \mathfrak{F}$ it follows that $H/A \cap B \in \mathfrak{F}$.

A class of groups $\mathfrak{X}$ is called hereditary if from $G \in \mathfrak{X}$ and $H \leq G$ it follows that $H \in \mathfrak{X}$.

Let $\mathfrak{F}$ and $\mathfrak{K}$ be formations then $\mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{K} = (G | G^{\mathfrak{K}} \in \mathfrak{F})$ is also formation.

A class of groups $\mathfrak{X}$ is called saturated if from $G/\Phi(G) \in \mathfrak{X}$ it follows that $G \in \mathfrak{X}$.

By well known Gashutz-Lubeseder-Shmid Theorem saturated formations are exactly local formations, i.e. formations $\mathfrak{F} = LF(f)$ defined by a formation function f: $LF(f) = \{G \in \mathfrak{G} |$ if H/K is a chief factor of G and $p \in \pi(H/K)$ then $G/C_G(H/K) \in f(p)\}$. Among all possible local definitions of a local formation $\mathfrak{F}$ there is exactly one, denoted by F, such that F is integrated ($F(p) \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$ for all $p \in \mathbb{P}$) and full ($\mathfrak{N}_p F(p) = F(p)$ for all $p \in \mathbb{P}$). F is called the canonical local definition of $\mathfrak{F}$.

Let $\mathfrak{F}$ be a local formation, F be its canonical local definition and G be a group. Then a chief factor H/K of a group G is $\mathfrak{F}$-central if and only if $G/C_G(H/K) \in F(p)$ for all $p \in \pi(H/K)$ (see [3, p. 6]).

Let $\mathfrak{F} = LF(F)$ be a hereditary local formation, F be its canonical local definition and $\pi = \pi(\mathfrak{H})$. Then $\mathfrak{F}$ is said to satisfy the boundary condition in the universe of all π-groups if $\mathfrak{F}$ contains every π-group whose all maximal subgroups belong to $F(p)$ for some prime p.

The following lemma can be found in [13, p. 239]. For reader's convenience, we give a direct proof.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a saturated homomorph and N be a normal subgroup of a group G. Then for every $\mathfrak{X}$-subgroup H/N of G/N there exists a $\mathfrak{X}$-subgroup M of G such that $MN/N = H/N$.*

Proof. Let H/N be a $\mathfrak{X}$-subgroup of G/N. Let us show that there exists a $\mathfrak{X}$-subgroup K of G such that $KN/N = H/N$. Let M be a minimal subgroup of H such that $MN = H$ (i.e if $M_1 < M$ then $M_1N < H$). Assume that there is a maximal subgroup M_1 of M such that $M_1(M \cap N) = M$. Then $M_1N = H$, a contradiction. Hence $M \cap N \leq \Phi(M)$. Since $\mathfrak{X}$ is saturated and $H/N = MN/N \simeq M/M \cap N \in \mathfrak{X}$, we see that $M \in \mathfrak{X}$. It means that there is a $\mathfrak{X}$-subgroup M of G such that $H/N = MN/N$. □

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\mathfrak{F}$ be a hereditary saturated formation, N be a normal subgroup of a group G, H be a subgroup of G then*

(1) $\mathrm{NI}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)N/N \leq \mathrm{NI}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G/N)$.

(2) $\mathrm{NI}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G) \cap H \leq \mathrm{NI}_{\mathfrak{F}}(H)$.

(3) *Let $N \leq \mathrm{Int}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$ then $N \leq \mathrm{NI}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$ and $\mathrm{NI}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)/N = \mathrm{NI}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G/N)$.*

Proof. (1) If K/N is a $\mathfrak{F}$-maximal subgroup of G/N then by lemma 2.1 there exists a $\mathfrak{F}$-maximal subgroup Q of G such that $QN/N = K/N$. If $x \in N_G(Q)$ then $xN \in N_{G/N}(QN/N) = N_{G/N}(K/N)$. Thus $\mathrm{NI}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)N/N \leq \mathrm{NI}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G/N)$.

(2) If M is a $\mathfrak{F}$-maximal subgroup of H then there exists a $\mathfrak{F}$-maximal subgroup Q of G such that $Q \cap H = M$. So if $x \in \mathrm{NI}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G) \cap H$ then $M^x = Q^x \cap H^x = Q \cap H = M$. Hence $x \in \mathrm{NI}_{\mathfrak{F}}(H)$. Thus $\mathrm{NI}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G) \cap H \leq \mathrm{NI}_{\mathfrak{F}}(H)$.

(3) Let $N \leq \mathrm{Int}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$. It is clear that $N \leq \mathrm{NI}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$. Note that M is a $\mathfrak{F}$-maximal subgroup of G if and only if M/N is a $\mathfrak{F}$-maximal subgroup of G/N. Now $N_G(M)/N = N_{G/N}(M/N)$. Thus $\mathrm{NI}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)/N = \mathrm{NI}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G/N)$. □

Let $\mathfrak{F}$ be a saturated formation. Then in every group exists a $\mathfrak{F}$-projector [12, p. 292]. Recall that a $\mathfrak{F}$-projector of a group G is a $\mathfrak{F}$-maximal subgroup H of G such that HN/N is a $\mathfrak{F}$-maximal subgroup of G/N for every normal subgroup N of G.

Recall that a group G is called semisimple if G is the direct product of simple groups. A chief factor of a group is the example of a semisimple group.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\mathfrak{F}$ be a hereditary saturated formation and a group $G = HK$ be a product of normal $\mathfrak{F}$-subgroups. If K is semisimple then $G \in \mathfrak{F}$.*

Proof. Assume the contrary. Let a group G be a counterexample of a minimal order. Then $G = HK$ is a product of normal $\mathfrak{F}$-subgroups H and K where K is semisimple. Let N be a normal subgroup of G. Then $G/N = (HN/N)(KN/N)$ where HN/N and KN/N are normal $\mathfrak{F}$-subgroups of G/N and KN/N is semisimple. So $G/N \in \mathfrak{F}$. Since $\mathfrak{F}$ is a saturated formation, we see that $\Phi(G) = 1$ and G has an unique minimal normal subgroup that equals K. Now $K \leq H$. So $G = H \in \mathfrak{F}$, the contradiction. □

The following lemma is well known.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\mathfrak{F}$ be a hereditary saturated formation and H be a $\mathfrak{F}$-subgroup of a group G. Then $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)H \in \mathfrak{F}$.*

Recall that if $\mathfrak{F}$ is a hereditary formation then a subgroup H of a group G is called $\mathfrak{F}$-subnormal if either $H = G$ or there is a chain of subgroups $H = H_0 < H_1 < \cdots < H_n = G$ such that $H_i/\mathrm{Core}_{H_i}(H_{i-1}) \in \mathfrak{F}$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$. We will need the following facts about $\mathfrak{F}$-subnormal subgroups.

Lemma 2.5 [11, p. 236]. *Let $\mathfrak{F}$ be a hereditary formation, N be a normal subgroup of a group G and H, K be subgroups of G. Then*:

(1) *If H is $\mathfrak{F}$-subnormal in G then HN/N is $\mathfrak{F}$-subnormal in G/N.*

(2) *If H/N is $\mathfrak{F}$-subnormal in G/N then H is $\mathfrak{F}$-subnormal in G.*

(3) *If H is $\mathfrak{F}$-subnormal in K and K is $\mathfrak{F}$-subnormal in G then H is $\mathfrak{F}$-subnormal in G.*

Lemma 2.6 [11, p. 239]. *Let $\mathfrak{F}$ be a saturated formation and a group $G = H\mathrm{F}^*(G)$ where H is a $\mathfrak{F}$-subnormal $\mathfrak{F}$-subgroup of G. Then $G \in \mathfrak{F}$.*

Lemma 2.7 [12, p. 390]. *Let $\mathfrak{F}$ be a hereditary saturated formation then $[G^{\mathfrak{F}}, \mathrm{Z}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)] = 1$ for any group G.*

3 Proves of the main results

3.1 Proof of proposition 1

Let $\mathfrak{F}$ be a hereditary saturated formation and G be a group. According to lemma 2.2 all $\mathfrak{F}$-maximal subgroups of $\mathrm{NI}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$ are normal in $\mathrm{NI}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$. Among this $\mathfrak{F}$-maximal subgroups there is a $\mathfrak{F}$-projector H. Now $\mathrm{NI}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)/H$ does not contain any $\mathfrak{F}$-subgroup. Hence $\mathrm{NI}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)/H \in \pi(\mathfrak{F})'$.

It is clear that $\mathrm{Int}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G) \leq \mathrm{O}^{\pi'}(\mathrm{NI}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)) \in \mathfrak{F}$. Let us show by induction the the equality $\mathrm{Int}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G) = \mathrm{O}^{\pi'}(\mathrm{NI}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G))$ holds. It is clear that it holds for the unit group. Assume that we prove our statement for groups whose order is less then the order of a group G. Let N be a minimal normal subgroup of G such that $N \leq \mathrm{O}^{\pi'}(\mathrm{NI}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G))$ and M be a $\mathfrak{F}$-maximal subgroup of G. So $M \lhd MN$ and N is a normal semisimple $\mathfrak{F}$-subgroup of MN. By lemma 2.3 $MN \in \mathfrak{F}$ and hence $MN = M$ for all $\mathfrak{F}$-maximal subgroups M of G. Hence $N \leq \mathrm{Int}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$.

By induction $\mathrm{Int}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G/N) = \mathrm{O}^{\pi'}(\mathrm{NI}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G/N))$. According to [6] $\mathrm{Int}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)/N = \mathrm{Int}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G/N)$. By (3) of lemma 2.2 $\mathrm{NI}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)/N = \mathrm{NI}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G/N)$. From $\pi(N) \subseteq \pi(\mathfrak{F})$ it follows that $\mathrm{O}^{\pi'}(\mathrm{NI}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)/N) = \mathrm{O}^{\pi'}(\mathrm{NI}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G))/N$. Now $\mathrm{Int}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)/N = \mathrm{Int}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G/N) = \mathrm{O}^{\pi'}(\mathrm{NI}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G/N)) = \mathrm{O}^{\pi'}(\mathrm{NI}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)/N) = \mathrm{O}^{\pi'}(\mathrm{NI}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G))/N$. Thus $\mathrm{Int}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G) = \mathrm{O}^{\pi'}(\mathrm{NI}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G))$. □

3.2 Proof of theorem A

The following result directly follows from the proof of the main result of [6].

Let $\mathfrak{H}$ be a hereditary saturated formation and $\pi = \pi(\mathfrak{H})$. Then for every π-group G the intersection of all $\mathfrak{H}$-maximal subgroups of G is the $\mathfrak{H}$-hypercenter of G if and only if $\mathfrak{H}$ satisfies the boundary condition in the universe of all π-groups.

According to [11, p. 96] $\mathfrak{F}$ is a hereditary saturated formation. So $\mathfrak{F}$ is a local formation. Let F be the canonical local definition of $\mathfrak{F}$.

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Assume that $\mathfrak{F}_i$ satisfies the boundary condition in the universe of all π_i-groups for all $i \in I$. Let us show that $\bigcap\limits_{i\in I}\mathrm{NI}_{\mathfrak{F}_i}(G) = \mathrm{Z}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$ holds for every group G.

Let G be a group and $D = \bigcap\limits_{i\in I}\mathrm{NI}_{\mathfrak{F}_i}(G)$. By proposition 1 $\mathrm{NI}_{\mathfrak{F}_i}(G)$ has the Hall π_i-subgroup that belongs to $\mathfrak{F}_i$ and is normal in G. Hence D has the normal Hall π_i-subgroup that belongs to $\mathfrak{F}_i$ for every $i \in I$. Thus $D \in \mathfrak{F}$.

Let H/K be a chief factor of G below D. Then $\pi(H/K) \subseteq \pi_n$ for some $n \in I$.

(a) $\mathrm{O}^{\pi_n}(G/K) \leq C_G(H/K)$.

By (1) of lemma 2.2 H/K normalizes all $\mathfrak{F}_i$-maximal subgroups of G/K. Hence H/K normalizes all $\mathfrak{F}_i$-projectors of G/K for all $i \in I \setminus \{n\}$. Let F/K be a $\mathfrak{F}_i$-projector of G/K for some $i \in I \setminus \{n\}$. From $\pi_n \cap \pi_i = \emptyset$ it follows that $F/K \cap H/K = K/K$. Let $hK \in H/K$ and $fK \in F/K$. Then from one hand $[fK, hK] = (fK)^{-1}(fK)^{(hK)} \in F/K$ and from another hand $[fK, hK] = ((hK)^{-1})^{(fK)}(hK) \in H/K$. So $[fK, hK] = 1$. Hence $[H/K, F/K] = 1$. Thus H/K centralizes all $\mathfrak{F}_i$-projectors of G/K for all $i \in I \setminus \{n\}$. Since $\mathfrak{F}_i$ is a hereditary saturated

formation for all $i \in I$, we see that $G/C_G(H/K)$ does not contain any π_i-subgroups for all $i \in I \setminus \{n\}$. Thus $O^{\pi_n}(G/K) \leq C_G(H/K)$.

(b) $H/K \leq Z_{\mathfrak{F}_n}(R/K)$ *for every* $\mathfrak{G}_{\pi_n}$*-maximal subgroup* R/K *of* G/K.

Let R/K be a $\mathfrak{G}_{\pi_n}$-maximal subgroup of G/K. Then $\pi_n((R/K)(H/K)) \subseteq \pi_n$. Hence $(R/K)(H/K) = R/K$. So $H/K \subseteq R/K$. By (2) of lemma 2.2 H/K normalizes all $\mathfrak{F}_n$-maximal subgroups of R/K. Note that H/K is semisimple $\mathfrak{F}_n$-subgroup. So $(H/K)(F/K) \in \mathfrak{F}_n$ for every $\mathfrak{F}_n$-maximal subgroup F/K of R/K by lemma 2.3. Hence $(H/K)(F/K) = F/K$ for every $\mathfrak{F}_n$-maximal subgroup F/K of R/K. Thus $H/K \leq \mathrm{Int}_{\mathfrak{F}_n}(R/K)$. Since $\mathfrak{F}_n$ satisfies the boundary condition in the universe of all π_n-groups, $H/K \leq Z_{\mathfrak{F}_n}(R/K)$.

(c) *Let* R/K *be a* $\mathfrak{G}_{\pi_n}$*-maximal subgroup of* G/K *such that* $(R/K)O^{\pi_n}(G/K) = G/K$*. Then* H/K *is a chief factor of* R/K.

Assume that N/K is a minimal normal subgroup of R/K such that $K/K \neq N/K < H/K$. From $O^{\pi_n}(G/K) \leq C_G(H/K)$ it follows that $O^{\pi_n}(G/K) \leq C_G(N/K)$. From $(R/K)O^{\pi_n}(G/K) = G/K$ it follows that N/K is normal in G/K. Hence H/K is not a chief factor of G, a contradiction.

(d) $(R/K)^{F(p)} \leq C_G(H/K)$ *for all* $p \in \pi(H/K)$.

From $H/K \leq Z_{\mathfrak{F}_n}(R/K)$ and $\mathfrak{F}_n \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$ it follows that a chief factor H/K of R/K lies in $Z_{\mathfrak{F}}(R/K)$. Now $(R/K)/C_{R/K}(H/K) \in F(p)$ for all $p \in \pi(H/K)$. Thus $(R/K)^{F(p)} \leq C_G(H/K)$ for all $p \in \pi(H/K)$.

(e) H/K *is a* $\mathfrak{F}$*-central chief factor of* G.

From $O^{\pi_n}(G/K) \leq C_G(H/K)$, $(R/K)^{F(p)} \leq C_G(H/K)$ for all $p \in \pi(H/K)$ and $(R/K)O^{\pi_n}(G/K) = G/K$ it follows that $G/C_G(H/K) \in F(p)$ for all $p \in \pi(H/K)$. Thus H/K is a $\mathfrak{F}$-central chief factor of G.

(f) $D \leq Z_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$.

We showed that every chief factor of G below D is $\mathfrak{F}$-central. Hence $D \leq Z_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$.

(g) $D \geq Z_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$ *and hence* $D = Z_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$.

Let H be a $\mathfrak{F}_i$-maximal subgroup of G for some $i \in I$. Then $HZ_{\mathfrak{F}}(G) \in \mathfrak{F}$ by lemma 2.4. Since H is a $\mathfrak{F}_i$-maximal subgroup of G, H is a $\mathfrak{F}_i$-maximal subgroup of $HZ_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$. So $H \lhd HZ_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$. Hence $D \geq Z_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$. Thus $D = Z_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) Suppose now that $\bigcap\limits_{i \in I} \mathrm{NI}_{\mathfrak{F}_i}(G) = Z_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$ holds for every group G. Let us show that $\mathfrak{F}_i$ satisfies the boundary condition in the universe of all π_i-groups for all $i \in I$.

Assume the contrary. Then some $\mathfrak{F}_n$ does not satisfy the boundary condition in the universe of all π_n-groups. So there is π_n-group G such that $\mathrm{Int}_{\mathfrak{F}_n}(G) \neq Z_{\mathfrak{F}_n}(G)$. Note that $\mathrm{Int}_{\mathfrak{F}_n}(G) = \mathrm{NI}_{\mathfrak{F}_n}(G)$ by proposition 1. Since G is a π_n-group, $\mathrm{NI}_{\mathfrak{F}_n}(G) = \bigcap\limits_{i \in I} \mathrm{NI}_{\mathfrak{F}_i}(G)$. From $\mathfrak{G}_{\pi_n} \cap \mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{F}_n$ it follows that $Z_{\mathfrak{F}_n}(G) = Z_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$.

Hence $\bigcap\limits_{i \in I} \mathrm{NI}_{\mathfrak{F}_i}(G) = \mathrm{NI}_{\mathfrak{F}_n}(G) = \mathrm{Int}_{\mathfrak{F}_n}(G) \neq Z_{\mathfrak{F}_n}(G) = Z_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$, the contradiction.

3.3 Proof of proposition 2

Let N be a normal subgroup of a group G such that H is $\mathfrak{F}$-subnormal in HN for every $H \in \Sigma(G)$. Let S be a $\mathfrak{F}$-subnrmalizer in G of $H \in \Sigma(G)$. Then HN/N is $\mathfrak{F}$-subnormal in SN/N by (1) of lemma 2.5. So HN is $\mathfrak{F}$-subnormal in SN by (2) of lemma 2.5. Hence H is $\mathfrak{F}$-subnormal in SN by (3) of lemma 2.5. Thus $SN = N$. It means that $N \leq \mathrm{SI}^{\mathfrak{F}}_{\Sigma}(G)$. So every normal subgroup of G that $\mathfrak{F}$-subnormalize all subgroups from $\Sigma(G)$ lies in $\mathrm{SI}^{\mathfrak{F}}_{\Sigma}(G)$.

From the other hand $H\mathrm{SI}^{\mathfrak{F}}_{\Sigma}(G)$ belongs to every $\mathfrak{F}$-subnormalizer of H in G for every $H \in \Sigma(G)$. Hence H is $\mathfrak{F}$-subnormal in $H\mathrm{SI}^{\mathfrak{F}}_{\Sigma}(G)$ for every $H \in \Sigma(G)$.

3.4 Proof of theorem B

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Assume that there exists a partition $\sigma = \{\pi_i | i \in I\}$ of $\pi(\mathfrak{F})$ into disjoint subsets such that $\mathfrak{F} = \underset{i\in I}{\times} \mathfrak{G}_{\pi_i}$. Let us show that the intersection of all $\mathfrak{F}$-subnormalizers of all cyclic primary subgroups of a group G is the $\mathfrak{F}$-hypercenter of G for every group G.

Note that $\mathfrak{F}$ is local formation with the canonical local definition F where $F(p) = \mathfrak{G}_{\pi_i}$ for $p \in \pi_i$ for all $i \in I$.

Let D be the intersection of all $\mathfrak{F}$-subnormalizers of all cyclic primary subgroups of a group G and H/K be a chief factor of G below D.

(a) *H/K lies in the intersection of all $\mathfrak{F}$-subnormalizers of all cyclic primary subgroups of a group G/K.*

Let CK/K be a cyclic primary subgroup of G/K. According to lemma 2.1 we may assume that C is a cyclic primary subgroup of G. Now C is $\mathfrak{F}$-subnormal in HC by proposition 2. So CK/K is $\mathfrak{F}$-subnormal in HC/K by (1) of lemma 2.5. Hence H/K lies in the intersection of $\mathfrak{F}$-subnormalizers of all cyclic primary subgroups of G/K.

(b) $H/K \in \mathfrak{F}$.

Now K/K is a $\mathfrak{F}$-subnormal $\mathfrak{F}$-subgroup of a quasinilpotent group H/K. By lemma 2.6 $H/K \in \mathfrak{F}$. Hence $\pi(H/K) \subseteq \pi_n$ for some $n \in I$.

(c) *$C/K \leq C_G(H/K)$ for every cyclic primary $\pi(\mathfrak{F})'$-subgroup of G/K.*

Let C/K be a cyclic primary $\pi(\mathfrak{F})'$-subgroup of G/K. Since C/K is a $\mathfrak{F}$-subnormal $\pi(\mathfrak{F})'$-subgroup of HC/K, $(C/K)^{\mathfrak{F}} = (C/K)$ is subnormal in HC/K by (1) of lemma 6.1.9 [11, p. 237]. Since C/K is a subnormal Sylow subgroup of HC/K, we see that $C/K \triangleleft HC/K$. Now it is easy to see that $C/K \leq C_G(H/K)$.

(d) *$C/K \leq C_G(H/K)$ for every cyclic primary $\pi(\mathfrak{F}) \cap (\pi_n')$-subgroup of G/K.*

Let C/K be a cyclic primary $\pi(\mathfrak{F}) \cap (\pi_n')$-subgroup of G/K. Since C/K is $\mathfrak{F}$-subnormal in HC/K, $HC/K \in \mathfrak{F}$ by lemma 2.6. So $C/K \leq C_G(H/K)$.

(e) *H/K is a $\mathfrak{F}$-central chief factor of G and $D \leq \mathrm{Z}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$.*

From (c) and (d) it follows that $\mathrm{O}^{\pi_n}(G) \leq C_G(H/K)$. Hence $G/C_G(H/K) \in \mathfrak{G}_{\pi_n} = F(p)$ for all $p \in \pi(H/K)$. So H/K is a $\mathfrak{F}$-central chief factor of G. It means that $D \leq \mathrm{Z}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$.

(f) *$\mathrm{Z}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G) \leq D$ and hence $D = \mathrm{Z}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$.*

Let C be a cyclic p-subgroup of a group G. If $p \in \pi(\mathfrak{F})$ then $C\mathrm{Z}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G) \in \mathfrak{F}$ by lemma 2.4. Hence C is $\mathfrak{F}$-subnormal in $C\mathrm{Z}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$. If $p \notin \pi(\mathfrak{F})$ then $C \leq G^{\mathfrak{F}}$. By lemma 2.7 $C \leq C_G(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G))$. Hence $(C\mathrm{Z}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G))^{\mathfrak{F}} = C$. So C is $\mathfrak{F}$-subnormal in $C\mathrm{Z}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$. Hence $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G) \leq D$. Thus $D = \mathrm{Z}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) Let P be a Sylow p-subgroup of G. If $p \in \pi(\mathfrak{F})$ then $P \in \mathfrak{F}$ and hence $P\mathrm{Z}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G) \in \mathfrak{F}$ by lemma 2.4. So P is $\mathfrak{F}$-subnormal in $P\mathrm{Z}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$.

If $p \notin \pi(\mathfrak{F})$ then $P \leq G^{\mathfrak{F}}$. By lemma 2.7 $[G^{\mathfrak{F}}, \mathrm{Z}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)] = 1$. So $P\mathrm{Z}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G) = P \times \mathrm{Z}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$. Hence P is $\mathfrak{F}$-subnormal in $P\mathrm{Z}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$.

Thus $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$ lies in the intersection D of all $\mathfrak{F}$-subnormalizers of all Sylow subgroups of G. Since the unit subgroup is $\mathfrak{F}$-subnormal in D, we see that $\pi(D) \subseteq \pi(\mathfrak{F})$.

Now let C be a cyclic primary p-subgroup of G. Then there is a Sylow p-subgroup P of G such that $C \leq P$. If $p \in \pi(\mathfrak{F})$ then C is $\mathfrak{F}$-subnormal in P and P is $\mathfrak{F}$-subnormal in PD. Hence C is $\mathfrak{F}$-subnormal in PD and also in CD by lemma 2.5.

If $p \notin \pi(\mathfrak{F})$ then C is subnormal in P and P is normal in PD. Hence C is subnormal in PD and also in CD. So C is the normal Sylow subgroup of CD. By our assumption the unit group is a Sylow subgroup. Hence 1 is $\mathfrak{F}$-subnormal in D. Now C/C is $\mathfrak{F}$-subnormal in CD/C. Hence C is $\mathfrak{F}$-subnormal in CD.

Thus D lies in the intersection of all $\mathfrak{F}$-subnormalizers of all cyclic primary subgroups of G. Hence $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G) \leq D \leq \mathrm{Z}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$. Thus $D = \mathrm{Z}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$.

Consider the following statement:

(4) $\mathfrak{F}$ *has the canonical local definition* F *such that for every prime* p, $F(p)$ *contains every group* G *whose all Sylow subgroups belong to* $F(p)$.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (4) Let the intersection of all $\mathfrak{F}$-subnormalizers of all Sylow subgroups of G be the $\mathfrak{F}$-hypercenter of G for every group G. Assume that there exist a prime p and groups G such that $G \notin F(p)$ but for every Sylow subgroup P of G, $P \in F(p)$. Let us chose the minimal order group G from such groups.

It is clear that $\mathrm{O}_p(G) = 1$ and G has an unique minimal normal subgroup. Then by lemma 2.6 from [6] there exists a faithful irreducible G-module N over the field F_p. Let H be the semidirect product of N and G. Note that $NP \in \mathfrak{F}$ for every Sylow subgroup P of H. Hence N lies in the intersection of all $\mathfrak{F}$-subnormalizers of Sylow subgroups of H by proposition 2. But $H/C_H(N) \notin F(p)$. So $N \not\leq \mathrm{Z}_{\mathfrak{F}}(H)$, the contradiction.

(4) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Assume that $Z_q \in F(p)$ for primes $p \neq q$. Suppose that $F(q) \cap \mathfrak{N}_p \neq \mathfrak{N}_p$. Let P be the minimal order p-group from $\mathfrak{N}_p \setminus (F(q) \cap \mathfrak{N}_p)$. Then P has an unique minimal normal subgroup and $P \in F(p)$. There exists a faithful irreducible P-module Q over the field F_q. Note that $Q \in F(p)$. Hence the semidirect product $G = Q \leftthreetimes P \in F(p) \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$. Now $G/\mathrm{O}_{q',q}(G) = G/Q \simeq P \in F(q)$, a contradiction.

So from $Z_q \in F(p)$ it follows that $F(q) \cap \mathfrak{N}_p = \mathfrak{N}_p$ and hence $F(p) \cap \mathfrak{N}_q = \mathfrak{N}_q$. So $\mathfrak{N}_{\pi(F(p))} \subseteq F(p)$. Let a group G be a s-critical for $F(p)$. Since $F(p)$ is hereditary, we see that G is r-group for some prime r. Now $r \notin \pi(F(p))$. Hence $G \simeq Z_r$. It means that $F(p) = \mathfrak{G}_{\pi(F(p))}$ for all $p \in \pi(\mathfrak{F})$.

Assume now that for three different primes p, q and r we have that $\{p, q\} \subseteq \pi(F(r))$. Let us show that $q \in \pi(F(p))$. By theorem 10.3B [12] there exists a faithful irreducible Z_q-module P over the field F_p. Let G be the semidirect product P and Z_q. Then $T \in F(r) \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$. Thus $G/\mathrm{O}_{p',p}(G) = G/P \simeq Z_q \in F(p)$.

It means that there exists a partition $\sigma = \{\pi_i | i \in I\}$ of $\pi(\mathfrak{F})$ into disjoint subsets such that $F(p) = \mathfrak{G}_{\pi_i}$ for all $p \in \pi_i$ and for all $i \in I$. Now $\mathfrak{F} = \underset{i \in I}{\times} \mathfrak{G}_{\pi_i}$.

References

[1] R. Baer, Group Elements of Prime Power Index, Trans. Amer. Math Soc. **75**(1) (1953), 20–47.

[2] L. A. Shemetkov and A. N. Skiba, Formations of algebraic systems, Nauka, 1989.

[3] Wenbin Guo, Structure theory for canonical classes of finite groups. Springer 2015.

[4] A. V. Sidorov, On properties of $\mathfrak{F}$-hypercenter of a finite group, in Problems in Algebra. **10** (1996), 141–143. (In Russian)

[5] J. C. Beidleman and H. Heineken, A note of intersection of maximal $\mathfrak{F}$-subgroups, J. Algebra. **333** (2010), 120–127.

[6] A. N. Skiba, On the $\mathfrak{F}$-hypercenter and the intersection of all $\mathfrak{F}$-maximal subgroups of a finite group, Journal of Pure and Aplied Algebra. **216**(4) (2012), 789–799.

[7] R. Baer, Der Kern eine charakteristische Untergruppe, Compos. Math. **1** (1935), 254-285.

[8] H. Wielandt, Über den Normalisator der subnormalen Untergruppen, Math. Z. **69** (1958), 463–465.

[9] S. Li and Z. Shen, On the intersection of the normalizers of derived subgroups of all subgroups of a finite group, J. Algebra. **323**(5) (2010), 1349–1357.

[10] V. I. Murashka, On one generalization of Baer's theorems on hypercenter and nilpotent residual, Prob Fiz. Mat. Tech. **16** (2013), 84–88.

[11] A. Ballester-Bolinches and L. M. Ezquerro, Classes of Finite Groups, Springer, 2006.

[12] K. Doerk and T. Hawkes, Finite soluble groups, Walter de Gruyter, 1992.

[13] L. A. Shemetkov, Formations of finite groups. Nauka, 1978. (In Russian)

[14] A. F. Vasil'ev and T. I. Vasil'eva, On finite groups with generalized subnormal Sylow subgroups, Prob. Fiz. Mat. Tech. **4** (2011), 86–91.

[15] V. I. Murashka, Classes of finite groups with generalized subnormal cyclic primary subgroups, Siberian J. Math. **55**(6) (2014), 1353–1367.

[16] A. N. Skiba, On σ-subnormal and σ-permutable subgroups of finite groups, J. Algebra. **436** (2015), 1–16.